THE EFFECT OF INTERNAL CONTROL SYSTEM TOWARDS FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE IN PT.KERETA API INDONESIA

Muhamad Gilang Ramadhan¹, Eliada Herwiyanti², Wita Ramadhanti³ ^{1, 2, 3}Faculty of Economics and Business, Jenderal Soedirman University

Abstract

This research is aimed to analyze the influence of internal control on financial performance of PT KAI. This research uses quantitative approach, with multiple linear regression analysis technique. The sampling of the research was done by using purposive sampling technique. Respondents involved in this study were 52 people, consisting of assistant managers and supervisors in PT KAI UPT Balaiyasa Manggarai. The data used is the primary data obtained from the questionnaire.

Testing of the hypothesis shows three acceptable hypotheses and two unacceptable ones. The results showed that the control environment, control activities, as well as information and communication have a significant effect on financial performance. While the risk assessment is influential but not significant to the financial performance, while the monitoring has a significant negative effect on financial performance.

Keywords: Internal control system, financial performance, PT. Kereta Api Indonesia

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

The success of eliminating fraud will be based on three processes namely preventive, detective and investigative. The preventive process is the main process infighting against fraud (Tuanakotta,2014). One of the ways to eliminating fraud is to report fraud. According to Hwangetal (2008), reporting fraud is the best way to prevent and deter fraud. Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE) indicates that most fraud is detected through complaints. The percentage of financial statement fraud has increased from 2010-2014, with the percentage 4.8%-9.0% (ACFE, 2014).

In Indonesia, there are various cases of fraudulent financial statements, one of which is in the case of PT. Kereta Api Indonesia (PTKAI) in 2005 . In July 2006, Hekinus Manao,as a president commissioner of PT KAI revealed to the public that PT KAI detected fraud in the financial statements. It has been suspected that there are manipulations of data in the financial statements of PT KAI in 2005. According to Bachtiar (2012), PT KAI suffered losses, but not in accordance with the financial statements of 2005, which recorded a profit of Rp 6.9 billion. This profit happens because some postS are still declared as assets which should have been expressed ascost.

Asfor the cause of declining financial performance PT.KAI can be seen from the indicators : (1) unfit condition of company's financial,(2) unimplemented Good Corporate Governance with other contributing factors, (3) unoptimal internal control, (4) unimplemented risk management control as adjusted in Good Corporate Governance. Therefore, it is very important for

PT.KAI to apply internal control systemtoachieve a better performance for the company.

A good financial performance will also resulti nformulating a great planning strategy for the company which eventually resulted in a good working program and i t will impact to the profits of the company (Rini and Ongki, 2013:485). Internal control system function as a regulator for the resources that already exist can be used optimally in order to obtain gains maximally as well as designing approach that uses the principle of Cost-Benefit.

The aim of applying internal control system to the company is to avoid any deviation from the procedure, the financial statements produced by the company can be trusted and corporate activities are in line with the law sand regulations. While according to Bastian (2009) reveals that the purpose of internal control system is to improve the performance of the organization to protect the organization's assets and its accounting record, internal control system is used to check the accuracy and reliability of accounting data, improve business efficiency and encourage compliance policies management that has been

.The results of the study (Kapardis, 2002) showed that 76% of companies, whose management is involved infinancial statements fraud, have in effective internal controls. The results of this study show how important it is for the auditor to evaluate the company's internal controls and to test further when finding in effective internal control. Based on research (Maryetal, 2014), internal control system consists of the control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, and monitoring which are very influential on financial performance.

B. Research Problem

- 1. Does control environment affect the financial performance of PT.KAI?
- 2. Does risk assessment affect the financial performance of PT.KAI?
- 3. Does control activities affect the financial performance of PT.KAI?
- 4. Does information and communication affect the financial performance of PT. KAI?
- 5. Does monitoring affect the financial performance of PT. KAI?

II. LITERATURE REVIEW AN HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

A. Literaure Review

1. Internal Control

Internal control system consists of policies and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance to management that the company is able to reach its goals and objectives (Arens, 2012:290).

Another definition by Tuan akkota (2013:352), internal control is a processes, policies, and procedures designed by management to ensure reliable financial reporting and financial reporting in accordance with the applicable accounting frame work.

2. Control Environment

The control environment is a collection of diverse factors effect on creating, strengthening, or reduce affectivity of policies and procedures. In other words, control environment set the overall tone of the organization and influences the control of employees' consciousness.

A good environment is the foundation for all the components of internal control, establishing discipline and controlling structure.

3. Risk Assessment

Risk assessment is the process of identifying, analyzing, organizing and managing the risks that affect the company's objectives relating to activities in which the organization involved. Risk assessment is a process of risk identification and risk analysis that hast do with the achievement of objectives.

4. Control Activities

Policies and procedures established to ensure that management directive sare run Further more minimizing risk sare established and implemented to ensure the achievementof objectives effectively. Control activitie staking place throughout the organization, at all evel sand in all functions.

5. Information and Communication

The information required should be identified, recorded and communicated in a formand

time frame which allows all parties involved to carry out its responsibilities

6. Monitoring

Internal control systems need to be monitored, which is a process to assess the quality of system performance at all times. It is run through ongoing monitoring activities, separate evaluations or a combination of both. This monitoring is done on an ongoing basis in line with business activity.

7. Financial Performance

Financial performance is work achievement that has been achieved by the company in a given period and stated in the financial statements of the company (Munawir, 1998). According to the Indonesia Institute of Accountants (IAI, 1996), the company's performance can be measured by analyzing and evaluating financial statements. Information about financial position and financial performance in the past often used as a basis for predicting the current positi and performance in the future and other things that immediately attract the attention of users such as the pay mentof dividends

determined, wages, the movement price of securities attention wearer suchasthe payment of dividends, wages, the movement price of securities and the company's ability to meet its commitments as they do.

B. The Formulation of Research Model and Hypothesis Development

1. Hypothesis Development

a. Control Environment

Control environment for the purpose of financial performance that is about the behavior of the overall management and employees about the importance of control. One of the factors that affect the control environment is a philosophy and operating style of management that may affect the financial performance. Based on the description, proposed research hypothesis as follows:

H₁: Control environment have positive effecton the financial performance of PT KAI.

b. Risk Assessment

The risk assessment for the purpose of financial performance is the identification, analysis, and management of risks. Management should be able to assess the risks that may occur in the future and shouldbe able to carry out the actionsnecessary tocontrol these risks.Based on the description, proposed research hypothesis as follows:

H₂ :The risk assessment have positive effect on the financial performance of PT KAI.

c. Control Acivities

Control activities for the purpose of financial performance created to convince all parties that the

company's goals can be achieved and included the prevention of fraud. Control procedures may include:

- 1. Separation of responsibility for operations related
- 2. Operational, storageassets, and accounting

3. Evidence and security measures Based on the description, proposed research hypothesisas follows:

H3: Control activities have positive effect on the financial performance of PT KAI.

d. Information and Communicatiom

Information and communication for the purpose of financial performance that is information regarding the control environment, risk assessment, controland surveillance procedures required management to direct operations and meet compliance regulations applicable to maximize financial performance effectivel yand efficiently. Based on the description, proposed research hypothesis as follows:.

H4: Information and communication have positive effect on the financial performance of PT KAI.


e. Monitoring

The purpose of monitoring financial performance is to find weaknesses mainly resulting lack of effectiveness of financial performance in order to improve internal controls. Supervision is done by monitoring the behaviorof employees and a warning signalfrom accounting system. In large companies, the independent internal auditor is the person responsible for over seeing the internal control system.

Based on the description, proposed research hypothesis as follows:

H5:Monitoring have positive effectonthe financial performance of PT KAI.

2. ResearchModel



III. RESEARCH METHODS AND DATA ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE

A. Research Methods

1. Type of Research

The type of this research is quantitative research. This research will demonstrate the influence of the internal control system on financial performance at PT KAI.

2. Object of Research

The object of this study is about internal control system by using components of the control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication and monitoring. The location of this research at PT KAI UPT Balaiyasa Manggarai in Jakarta.

3. Type and Source of Data

Data that used in this study is primary data and secondary data. The primary data used is in the form of questionnaires atthemiddle managemen tof PT KAI. Secondary data used is in the for mof financial statements that have been published on the website of PT.KAI. The other sources are also used to support the research such as books, previous studies, and articles from the internet.

4. Population, Sample and Sample Selection Technique

The population in this research is PT KAI UPT Balayasa Manggarai. In this place have six departments namely logistics, human resource and information technology, procurement of goods and services, planning, production and quality control. The sampling technique in this research by using purposive sampling technique.

Data collection technique with the distribution of questionnaires. The questionnaire contains a number of questions aim date obtaining informationon the problems studied. The questionnaire was distributed to the middle management staff of PT KAI.

5. Operational Definition Variable

a. Dependent Variable

The dependent variable (Y) in this research is financial performance. The financial performance is a performance that

has been achieved by the company in a given period and stated in the financial statements of the company (Munawir, 1998).

b. Independent Variable

The independent variable (X)in this research is internal control system. Indicators of the internal control system according to Mulyadi (2001: 183), the internal control system includes the organization, methods and coordinated measures to safe guard the wealth of the organization, check the accuracy and reliability of accounting data, drive efficiency and encourage compliance with management policies.

B. Analysis Techniqes

1. Validity Test

Validity test used to measure whether valid or not of questionnaires. A questionnaire considered valid if the questions in the questionnaire were able to reveal something that will be measured by the questionnaire (Ghozali,2011:52). The validity test of this researchusing product moment correlation coefficient, with the formula:

$$\frac{(\Sigma) \Sigma \Sigma}{\sqrt{1 \Sigma (\Sigma) \prod \Sigma (\Sigma) \prod}}$$

. Information:

r xy = Coefficient of correlation between variables X and Y N =Number of respondents

 ΣXY =Total product score of X and Y

 ΣX =Total score X

 $\Sigma Y = Total score Y$

 (Σx) 2 =Quadratictotal scoreX (ΣY) 2

=Quadratictotal scoreY

The correlation coefficient is then compared with r table- when the correlation between the score sof items with a total score of less than 0.30 (obtained from the calculation of the interpolation calculation of table values of r Product Moment) then the item in question in the instrument is declared invalid. The items considered valid if it is greater than 0.3 by comparing it with r table. If r coun > r table then valid.

2. Reliability Test

Reliability test is a tool to measure a question aire which is an indicator of the variables or constructs (Ghozali, 2011:54). In this study, a questionnaire measuring reliability through statistical tests Cronbach Alpha (α) with SPSS. A variable can be said to be reliable if the value of Cronbach Alpha > 0.60 on the test results. A questionnaire said to be reliable or reliable ifsomeone answers the constantquestion is consistent or stable over time.

3. Descriptive Statistics

Data analysis techniques used in this research is using the quantitative descriptive method. Descriptive statistics provide the description of a data seen from mean, standard deviation, maximum and minimum (Ghozali, 2016:19).

4. Classic Assumption Test

The analytical method used in this research is multiple linear regression. Multiplelinear

regression aims to obtain a comprehensive representation of the relationship between independent variables and the dependent variable.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. General Description of Company

PT. Kereta Api (Persero) is a State Owned Enterprise (BUMN) engaged in transportation of passengers and freight services. Currently, PT Kereta Api Indonesia (Persero) hass even subsidiaries namely PT Reska Multi Usaha (2003), PT Railink (2006), PT Kereta Api Indonesia Commuter Jabodetabek (2008), PT Kereta Api Pariwisata (2009), PT Kereta Api Logistics (2009), PT Kereta Api Properti Manajemen (2009), PT Pilar Sinergi BUMN Indonesia (2015).

B. Results of Data Analysis1. Validity Test

a. Financial Performance

From the table 1, it is known that the value of $r_{statistic}$ is ranged from 0.565 to 0.826. Meant,all items in the instrument of research financial performance variable are greater than the value of r_{table} of 0.273. Thus it can be said that all items of the study instrument are valid.

b. Control Environment

From the table 2, it is known that the value of $r_{statistic}$ is ranged from 0.613 to 0.817 Meant, all items in the research instrument environment control variables are greater than the value of r_{table} of 0.273.Thus, it can be said that all items of the study instrument are valid.

c. Risk Assessment

From the table 3, it is known that the value of $r_{statistic}$ is ranged from 0.482 to 0.702. Meant, all items in the research instrument risk assessment variable are greater than the value of r_{table} is 0.273. Thus, it can be said that all items of the study instrument is valid.

d. Control activities

From the table 4, it is known that the value of $r_{statistic}$ is ranged from 0.806 to 0.870.Meant, all items in the research instrument variable control activity are greater than the value of r_{table} of 0.273.Thus, it can be said that all items of the study instrument are valid.

e. Information and communication

From the table 5, it is known that the value of $r_{statistic}$ is ranged from 0.635to0.757. Meant, all items in the instrument research variable of information and communication are greater than the value of r_{table} 0.273. Thus, it can be said that all items of the study instrument are valid.

f. Monitoring

From the table 6, it is known that the value of $r_{statistic}$ is ranged from 0.820to0.909. Meant, all items in the research instrument monitoring

variables are greater than the value of r_{table} is 0.273.Thus, it can be said that all items of the study instrument are valid.

2. Reliability Test

Based on the above 7, it obtains Cronbach alpha value of financial performance variable is 0.783, control environment is equal to 0.773, risk assessment equals to 0.752, controlactivity is 0.829, information and communication equals to 0.771, and monitoring equal to 0.831. All of the alpha values are greater than 0.60 and greater than the value of r_{table} 0.293. So, all instruments of research variables are declared reliable and worthy to use in research.

3. Classic Assumption Test

a. NormalityTest

The normality test uses Kolmogorov Smirnov. From the test results using the help of SPSS, it obtains Kolmogorov Smirnov value of 0.728 and Asymp value. Sig.(2- tailed) of 0.664,which are greater than alpha 0.05. Based on the test results, it can be stated the distribution of data is spread normally.

b. Multcolinearity Test

Table 8 shows that there is no independent variable that has Tolerance valueless than 0.1 which means there is no correlation between independent variables. The calculation of Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) value indicates that all independent variables have VIF value less than 10. So, it can be concluded that the proposed regression model does not occur multicollinearity problem.

c. Heteroscedasticity Test

The scatter plot image above shows data that the data do not form a particular pattern, as well as the points which spread out above and below the zero on the Y-pivot. So, it can best ated that there is no heteroscedasticity symptom in the regression model.

4. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

Based on table 9, it can be seen that there is influence of internal control system including the control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication. and monitoring of financial performance, as shown by R Square value of 0.950. Meant, financial performance is influenced by the control environment, Risk assessment, control activities, information and communication and monitoring of 95%, and the rest of 5% is influenced by other variables not examined. This R value of 0.977 shows that the relationship between control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication and monitoring with variable financial performanceis very strong.

1. Discussion

a. The first hypothesis stating that the control environment has a positive effecton financial performance of PT .KAI, is accepted

The results of analysis using regression model show that the first hypothesisis accepted because the control environment has an effect on the financial performance in PT KAI. This result indicates that the control environment is a determinant of high financial performancein PT.KAI. Positive influence implies that the higher the control environment in the internal control system undertaken by the company will be in the higher the financial performance.

The control environment governs the all of the organization aspects and affects awareness of employe control. A good control environment is the foundation for all the components of internal control, building discipline and control structures. With the high control environment in PT.KAI as shown in the description of the control environment variables, it has an impact on the high financial performancein PT. KAI. This indicates that the control environment in the internal control system in PT. KAI has been able to build employee awareness for discipline and mutual control.

b. The second hypothesis stating that the risk assessment has positive effect on the financial performance of PT.KAI, is rejected.

The results of analysis using regression model show that the second hypothesisis rejected because the risk assessment does not affect the financial performancein PT. KAI. This result indicates that risk assessment is not a determinant of high or low financial performancein PT.KAI. This is shown that as many as 13 people (25%) of respondents do not know the internal control system in the company and one respondent who answered the risk assessment on the low category. Risk assessment does not affect the financial performance of the company. This fact is understandable because according to the Committees of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), risk assessment is the process of

identifying, analyzing, managing and managing risks that affect corporate goals related to the various activities in which Organizations are involved. The purpose of the company will run well if th einternal control system in the company goes well.

c. The third hypothesis stating that the control activities have positive effect on the financial performance of PT.KAI, is accepted

The result of analysis using regression model show that the third hypothesisis accepted because the control activities affect the financial performance in PT.KAI. This result indicates that control activities are the determinants of high or low financial performancein PT. KAI. The positive influence of control activities, means that the higher the control activities performed in the company, the higher the financial performancein PT.KAI. Control activities are policies and procedures that companies make to help ensure that management guidance is exercised. Control activities also aim to minimize the risks, which are established and implemented to help ensure the achievementof objectives effectively. Control activities take place through out the organization, all levels and on all functions. So, the control activities that run well and in accordance with the control program that has been set will impact on company problems, so that it will performance including impact on the financial performance of company

d. The fourth hypothesis stating that the information and communication have positive effect on the financial performance of PT.KAI, is accepted

The result of analysis using regression model show that the fourth hypothesisis accepted because the information and communication affect the financial performance in PT. KAI. This result indicates that information and communication are the determinants of highor low financial performance in PT.KAI. The positive effects of information and communication can be interpreted that the higher the information and communication done in the company in the internal control system will be the higher the financial performance in PT.KAI.

Information and communications within the internal control system shall be identifiable, recordedand communicated in theform and time frame allowing all parties concerned to carry out their responsibilities. The existing information system will produce reports containing informationon business, financial and information related to compliance, be enabling users to run and control the business. Thus, the information and communication is the main factor of the company's activities including financial performance

e. The five hypothesis stating that the monitoring has a positive effect on financial performance of PT.KAI, is rejected

The result of analysis using regression model show that the fifth hypothesis is rejected because the monitoring had a negative effect on financial performance in PT.KAI. This result indicates that monitoring isakey determinant of high or low financial performance in PT. KAI. That one respondent answered the monitoring statement in the low category and 34% of respondents in the medium category. The negative influence of monitoring on financial performance, means that the lower the monitoring activity conducted in the company makes the financial performance in PT.KAI will decrease further.

The monitoring activity in the internal control system is a necessity, because the process for assessing the quality of system performance is done over time. It is run through ongoing monitoring activities, separate evaluations or a combination of the two. This monitoring is conducted in a sustainable mannerin accordance with business activities. Extreme or very strict monitoring gives birth to very high standards and great detail that makes performance work effectively.

Even small mistakes cannot be tolerated of accompany and immediately judged to be unfeasible, so it is reasonable if they can add to the assessment of matters related to financial performance, such as the data contained in the financial statements.

V. CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATION

A. Conclusion

Based on the results of research that have been described in the previous chapter, it can be drawn some conclusions as follows:

- 1. Control environment has positive effects on the financial performance of PT. KAI.
- 2. Risk assessment has no effect on the financial performance of PT. KAI.
- 3. Control activities has positive effects on the financial performance of PT. KAI.
- 4. Information and communication has positive effects on financial performance of PT. KAI.
- 5. Monitoring has no effect on the financial performance of PT. KAI.

B. Limitations

This research has been cultivated and carried out in accordance with scientific procedures, but it still has limitations:

- 1. Data collection in this research is by distributing questionnaires, and sometimes, the respondents produce a biased answer.
- 2. The existence of research limitationusing questionnaire is takingalongtime.

C. Suggestion

- 1. The further research related to data collection is better using interview method.
- 2. The number of population used in this research is only of one company. The subsequent research is expected to increase the number of samples, so the results will be more closely to the actual conditions.

D. Implication

1. Given the importance of the company's internal control system, PT Kereta Api Indonesia is

expected to improve the company's internal control system

Improving the monitoring of corporate assets such as cash,and inventory on a regular basis. This can reduce the possibility of errors in calculations and prevent from fraud(unethical behavior).

- 2. PT Kereta Indonesia Api is expected toidentify, analyze, manageand manage risk well to deal with various risks from both external and internal sources. Provide an overview accountability about and transparency of localgovernment financial management, so the public can assess the policies and performance of local governments as well as efforts to realize good public governance.
- Theoretically this research consistent with 3. internal control system theory except for risk assessment effect and monitoring effect on financial performance. The necessary information should be able tobe identified, recorded and communicated in the form and time span that allow sall relevant parties to carry out their responsibilities. To be able to do this, PT KAI uses SAP(System Application and Product in data processing) which is a software which helps the company to plan and perform various daily activities. Given the importance of information and communication, it is very important for the internal control system expected companies/ others to imitate PT KAI related to it.

REFERENCE

Anindita, Primastuti. (2006). Kualitas Sistem Pengendalian Intern Sebagai Penentu Tingkat Kepercayaan Laporan KeuanganSuatu Pemerintah Daerah.Widyapraja, Vol. 32, No. 3, Tahun 2006.

Arens, A. A., R. J. Elder, dan M. S.

- Beasley.(2012).Auditing and Assurance Service An Integrated Approach, 14th Edition. England: Pearson EducationLimited.
- Associationof Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE).(2014). Report to Nation. Diakses dari http://www.acfe.com/rttn/docs/201
- 4- report-to-nations.pdf pada tanggal 16 Desember 2016.
- Bastian,Indra.(2009).Akuntansi Sektor Publik Di Indonesia. Yogyakarta: BPFE.
- Carter, William K dan Usry, MittonF. (2009). Akuntansi Biaya II. Edisi 14. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

- Citrawati, Maghfira. (2011). Analisis Penilaian Kinerja Perusahaan Yang Di Ukur Dengan Blanced Score card (Studi Pada PT Pura Baratama Kudus UnitOffset). Skripsi Undip.
- Creswell. (2012). Education Research Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research. Pearson: USA.
- Fahmi, Irham. (2011). Analisis Laporan
- Keuangan.Lampulo: ALFABETA.
- Farisa Lailatul Maharan et al. (2015).
- Pengaruh Pengendalian Internal Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Pada Divisi Pelayanan Medisdi Rumah SakitJember. Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Jember– Vol.13No.2 Desember2015.
- Ghozali,I.(2011). Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program IBM SPSS 19. Semarang:Universitas Diponegoro.
- -----.(2016). Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program IBM SPSS 19. Semarang : Universitas Diponegoro.
- Hariyati, Rini Maryuni dan Ongki Dessy Oliviani.(2013). Pengaruh Audit Manajemen Dan Pengendalian Intern Terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan Dengan Good Corporate Governance Sebagai Variabel Intervening (Studi Pada PT. Jamsostek (Persero)Divisi Regional VI Jawa Barat. Proceeding Seminar Nasional Dan Call ForPapersSancall 2013, 23 Maret 2013:484-495.

Herawati, Tuti. (2014). Pengaruh Sistem

- Pengendalia Intern Terhadap Kualitas Laporan Keuangan Survei Pada Organisasi Perangkat Daerah Pemda Cianjur. ISSN: 1693-4482. STAR–Study &Accounting Research|Vol XI, No. 1-2014.
- Husein, Umar. (2005). Metode Penelitian Untuk Skripsi dan Tesis Bisnis. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Hwangetal. (2008). Confucian Culture and Whistle-blowing by Professional Accountants: An Exploratory Study.Managerial Auditing Journal,23 (5),504-526.
- Ikatan Akuntansi Indonesia. (1996).
- Standar Akuntansi Keuangan (SAK). Jakarta: SalembaEmpat.
- -----(2001). Pertimbangan Atas Pengendalian Intern Dalam Audit Laporan Keuangan.
- Kasmir. (2010). Pengantar Manajemen Keuangan. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- Krambia-Kapardis, M. (2002). AFraud DetectionModel:A Mustfor Auditors.
- Maryetal.(2014).Effects of Internal Control Systems on Financial Performance of Sugarcane out grower companies in Kenya. IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)e-ISSN:

2278-487X, p-ISSN:2319-7668. Volume 16, Issue 12.Ver.I (Dec. 2014), PP 62-73www.iosrjournals.org

Mulyadi. (2001). Sistem Akuntansi. Cetakan Ketiga. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

- -----. (2002). Auditing. Buku Satu dan Dua. Edisi Kelima. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- -----. (2010). Sistem Akuntansi,Edisi ke-3, Cetakan ke-5. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

-----. (2013). Sistem Akuntansi. Jakarta: SalembaEmpat.

Munawir.(1998). Analisis Laporan Keuangan. Yogyakarta:Salemba Empat.

-----. (2010). Analisis Laporan Keuangan.Yogyakarta: Liberty.

Nainggolan,Pahala.(2006).Cara Mudah Memahami Akuntansi. Jakarta: PPM.

Tony, Triyulianto. (2008). Sistem Pengendalian Intern Pemerintah (SPIP) Bersama Kita Bisa Menyongsong

APPENDIX

Masa Depan BPKP yang Lebih Cerah.Bulletin Perwakilan BPKP Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan,Vol.1, No.4, 24 Oktober 2008.

- Tuanakotta, Theodorus M.(2014). Audit Berbasi ISA (International Standards on Auditing). Jakarta: SalembaEmpat.
- Usman, Zulkarnain.(2013). Pengaruh Sistem Pengendalian Intern Terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan pada PTMNC SKY VISION Cabang Gorontalo. Skripsi Universitas Negeri Gorontalo.

Van Horne, James C. and John M.

- Wachowicz. (2005).Fundamentals of Financial: Management Prinsip- Prinsip Manajemen Keuangan. Penerjemah: DewiFitriasari dan Deny ArnosKwary.Jakarta: SalembaEmpat.
- Wawan SukmanadanLiaAnggarsari. (2009). Pengaruh Pengawasan Intern dan Pelaksanaan Sistem Akuntansi Keuangan Daerah Terhadap Kinerja Pemerintah Daerah(Survei pada Satuan Kerja Perangkat Daerah Kota Tasikmalaya). Jurnal Akuntansi FE UNSIL, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2009.

| Item | R statistic | | |
|------|-----------------------|-------|--|
| 1 | 0.791 >Rtable (0.273) | | |
| 2 | 0.826 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid | |
| 3 | 0.782 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid | |
| 4 | 0.744 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid | |
| 5 | 0.565 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid | |
| 6 | 0.686 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid | |

Source: primary data, processed 2017

| Table 2. Result of Validity Test of | Variable of Control Environment |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|

| Item | R statistic | Informatiom |
|------|-----------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 0.613 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 2 | 0.817 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 3 | 0.732 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 4 | 0.692 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 5 | 0.633 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 6 | 0.779 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 7 | 0.710 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 8 | 0.766 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 9 | 0.764 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 10 | 0.764 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |

Source: primary data, processed 2017

| Item | R statistic | Information |
|------|-----------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 0,689 >Rtabel (0,273) | Valid |
| 2 | 0,654 >Rtabel (0,273) | Valid |
| 3 | 0,651 >Rtabel (0,273) | Valid |
| 4 | 0,482 >Rtabel (0,273) | Valid |
| 5 | 0,702 >Rtabel (0,273) | Valid |
| 6 | 0,682 >Rtabel (0,273) | Valid |
| 7 | 0,622 >Rtabel (0,273) | Valid |

Table 3. Result of Validity Test of Variable of Risk Assessment

Source: primary data, processed 2017

Table 4. Result of Validity Test of Variable of Control Activities

| R statistic | Information | |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| 0.848 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid | |
| 0.866 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid | |
| 0.870 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid | |
| 0.806 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid | |
| | 0.848 >Rtable (0.273) 0.866 >Rtable (0.273) 0.870 >Rtable (0.273) | |

Source: primary data, processed 2017

| Item | R statistic | Information |
|------|-----------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 0.757 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 2 | 0.635 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 3 | 0.654 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 4 | 0.712 >Rtable(0.273) | Valid |
| | 1 2017 | |

Source: primary data, processed 2017

Table 6. Result of Validity Test of Variable of Monitoring

| Item | R statistic | Information |
|------|-----------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 0.849 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 2 | 0.820 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 3 | 0.909 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |
| 4 | 0.854 >Rtable (0.273) | Valid |

Source: primary data, processed 2017

Table 7. The Result of Reliability Test

| Variable | | A;pha value | Information |
|-----------------------|---------|--------------------|-------------|
| Financial performance | 0.783 > | CronbachAlpha(0.6) | Reliable |
| Control environtment | 0.773 > | CronbachAlpha(0.6) | Reliable |
| Riskassessment | 0.752 > | CronbachAlpha(0.6) | Reliable |
| Control activities | 0.829 > | CronbachAlpha(0.6) | Reliable |
| Information and | 0.771 > | CronbachAlpha(0.6) | Reliable |
| communication | | | |
| Monitoring | 0.831 > | CronbachAlpha(0.6) | Reliable |

Source: primary data, processed 2017

| Variable | Tolerance | VIF | Information |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------|----------------------|
| Control environment | 0.120 | 8.308 | no multicollinearity |
| Risk assessment | 0.388 | 2.575 | no multicollinearity |
| Control activity | 0.101 | 9.882 | no multicollinearity |
| Information and communication | 0.844 | 1.185 | no multicollinearity |
| Monitoring | 0.146 | 6.836 | no multicollinearity |

Table 8. The Result of Multicolinearity Test

Source: primary data, processed 2017

Table 9. The Result of Regression Analysis

| Coefficient | Beta | Т | Sig |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------|--------|
| (Constant) | 0.482 | 0.242 | 0.810 |
| Control environtment (X1) | 0.440 | 8.130 | 0.000* |
| Risk assessment(X2) | 0.002 | 0.040 | 0.968 |
| Control activities(X3) | 0.408 | 3.979 | 0.000* |
| Information and | 0.179 | 3.438 | 0.001* |
| communication (X4) | | | |
| Monitoring(X5) | -0.147 -2.091 0. | .042* | |
| N =52 | | | |
| F =195.150 Proba | bility: 0.000* | | |
| *Sig<0.05 $R^2 = 0.05$ |).950 | | |

Source: primary data, processed 2017